



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/811,033	03/16/2001		Yuichi Kamioka	28569.9200	5694
7:	590	05/10/2004		EXAMINER	
Michael K. Ke	elly		PATEL, GAUTAM		
Snell & Wilme One Arizona C	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
400 E. Van Buren Street				2655	14
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202			υ) DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/811,033	KAMIOKA ET AL.	U
, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Gautam R. Patel	2655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailib) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ig date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmer explanation of how the new or amended claims w 			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: 20,21 and 23-25.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	~·
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		per Patel	1
		Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2655	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants are making additional arguments which do not render claims allowable after the prosecution on the merit is closed..